

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE  
SECURITY COMMITTEE  
COMPUTER SECURITY SUBCOMMITTEE

17 Aug 1983  
DCISEC-CSS-M156

1. The One Hundred and Fifty-Sixth meeting of the Computer Security Subcommittee was held on 19 July 1983 at [redacted] McLean, VA. The following persons were in attendance:

[redacted] Chairman  
[redacted], Executive Secretary  
[redacted], CTA  
[redacted] NSA  
Mr. Lynn Culkowski, Air Force  
Mr. David Schenken, U.S. Secret Service  
Mr. Ralph Neeper, Army  
Mr. Carl Martz, Navy  
Mr. Robert Graytock, Dept. of Justice  
Mr. Lynn McNulty, Dept. of State  
Mr. Gene Epperly, OSD  
[redacted] SECOM staff  
[redacted], SECOM staff  
[redacted], CIA (observer)

2. There were no comments on the minutes of the previous meeting, and they were accepted as written.

3. The Chairman reviewed the status of the SECOM budget revision; the fy 85 budget has been cut by \$1M. This is reflected at the Subcommittee level as follows:

Base	Requested	Revised
Emergency Sanitization	100k	80k
Hardware Monitoring	120k	100k
Secure Communications Processor	180k	150k
Tamper Proof Technology	50k	50k

4. There was a brief discussion of the report of the Interagency Group for Countermeasures (IG/CM). The Chairman reviewed the comments on the report, specifically those which point out the existence of the Subcommittee and its responsibility for Computer Security.

5. The Executive Secretary recapped the agreements reached at the previous meeting with regard to the coordination of the draft DCID 1/16. Each member will be receiving a copy of the current draft with a note summarizing the major thrust of the working level coordination (a more complete discussion is contained in the minutes of the previous meeting, (M155)). It was agreed that the Subcommittee would be prepared to submit a completed draft to the SECOM (for their further coordination at the Agency/Department level) by November 1983. Therefore, it was recognized that the comments collected by each member during his in-house coordination/evaluation exercise should be submitted in writing by the September meeting, to facilitate the drafting of the version to be submitted to SECOM.

STAT 6. There was considerable discussion of the status of the effort being headed by [ ] Mr. Epperly (the Chairman of the Policy Group under that effort) reviewed some of the evolution of the study and, in particular, his portion of it. He admitted that there was some vagueness regarding the separation/interface between the policy and the standards groups. During the discussions of the effort in general and its genesis, STAT [ ] emphasized that the current visibility and interest computer security is receiving at very high levels should be viewed as an unparalleled opportunity (which, of course, demands intelligent and vigorous action) for the community to have some of its greater problems resolved (e.g., obtaining sufficient funding).

STAT It was noted that although the tasking on the [ ] effort focuses explicitly on Intelligence issues, the Subcommittee is not represented as such on the policy group. Since the Subcommittee, through the SECOM, is chartered specifically to address computer security policy, the question which was raised was whether or not the Subcommittee should be represented as such on the policy group. The opinions varied from "don't worry about it" to "raise the issue and argue it out, if necessary". It was agreed that the proper course of action is to allow the SECOM the opportunity to determine what action, if any, should be taken. Accordingly, the Chairman will prepare a letter to the Chairman, SECOM presenting the issues and defining some alternative courses of action, leaving the final determination of the appropriate course of action to the SECOM.

STAT 7. The next meeting was scheduled for 0930 on Tuesday, 20 Sept. at the [ ] McLean VA.

STAT  
  
[ ]  
Executive Secretary